IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUNT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JAMES "Oliver" Young

PLANTIFF(s),

- against-(V.)
NEW YORK STATE (a/K/a)

THE STATE OF NEW YORK

- NY STATE DIVISION FOR YOUTH

- LIVINGSTON COUNTY DISTRICT ATORNEY

- FATHER JAMES MULCAHEY

RESPONDANT(s)

I) COMPLAINT:

M. Pro Se" complaint of James "Oliver" Young, horin After
Known as "Plantiff" brings first hand Knowledge in
regards to Above complaint Against NEW YORK STATE

(a/K/a) THE STATE OF NEW YORK, here in After Known
As Defendant I, Against NY STATE DIVISION FOR
YOUTH, herein After Known as Defendant II, LIVINGSTON
COUNTY DISTRICT ATORNEY, herein After Known as
DE fendant III, Against FATHER JAMES MULCAHEY,
herein After Known as Defendant IV, and Plantiff

does state the following:

II) PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

1) PLANTIFF (JAMES GLIVER YOUNG) WHO DOES RESIDE IN MONROE COUNTY JAIL-130 SOUTH PLYMOUTH AVENUE ROCHESTER, NY 14614

- 2) Plantiff is, and AT All times mentioned herein, was A child, now AN ADULT citizen of the UniTED STATES OF AMERICA AND A RESIDENT OF NEW YORK.
- 3) Defondant I NEW YORK STATE (2/K/a) the STATE OF NEW YORK WATED: The Capital BUILDING ALBANY, NEW YORK 12224
- 4) Defendant II NY STATE DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCATED AT TIME 1660 ELMWOOD AVENUE ROCHESTER NY 14618
- 5) Defendant III LIVINGSTON COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: LOCATED: 2 COURT ST. GENESOO MY 14454
- (AST NOW 1688 DALTON RD NUNDA NY 14517
 - AN Adult citizen of the United STATES of AMERICA

 AND WAS A RESident of the STATE OF NEW YORK.
 - 8) this action and complaint Arises and is brought presuant to, FEBRUARY 14, 2019, NEW York STATE enacted the CHILDS VICTIMS ACT (*CVA*) (L. 2019 c.11) which, inter Alia, (i) extended the STATUTE of limitations on criminal cases involving certain sex offenses Against children upper 18 (SEE CPL 30. 10 [F]);

 (2) extended the time which civil Actions based upon such creminal conduct may be brought until the child victim reaches 55 years old (SEE CPLR 208 [b]); and (3) opened A (1) one-year reviving

civil AcTIONS for which the STATUTE of limitATIONS

had Already run (even in cases that were litigated and dismissed on limitations grounds) commencing August it, 2019 (SEE CPLR 214-9).

9) this complaint did Arise within NEW YORK STATE

AND WESTERN, NEW YORK, therefore Judicial Venue is

proper for litigation of CHILD VICTIMS ACT ("CVA")

under United States WESTERN DISTRICT COURT, for

ACTION, Remedy AND relief.

III) PREVIOUS LAW SUIT BY PLANTIFF:

As of this date, Plantiff HAS JUST filed Another lawsuit dealing with SAME STATUTE but against A different "DEFENDANT" And is not recated to this action. As of this date, no docker or index pumber has been essued from the Supreme Court of New York, County of Mouroe.

IV) STATMENT OF CLAIM:

As of this "Pro SE" complaint and AT All religion to times

AND Approximate dates, AND Plantiff's "FIRST HAND"

KNOWLEDGE, do fundant Godial Act wrong fully, willingly,

Neglectfully as were as regularly upon the provisions

of the "CHILD VICTIMS ACT" ("CVA"). FACTS Are set

for the more fully and distinctly below. This "PRO

SE" complaint should also be allowed to be "liberally"

Construed As were, for claimant is from 1985...

I) STATEMENT OF FACTS:

this Plantiff does have "First HAND" Knowledge of the Alleged conduct, Actions, And Negligence within the inside of the filed complaint as he remembers to the very best of his Ability.

1) De Coudant I + II - had first hand knowledge of some previous sexual complainest that we're lodged Against but in years previous to Plantiff's placement within defendant IV home. It has been Alleged through AN INVESTIGATIVE NEWS EXPOSE through WHAM-CHANNEL 13 NEWS IN 2019. It was exposed Defendant IV had acted inapproiprly with more boys that were placed within his home. STATE POLICE reports were + Arcen And files to where Denfendant (s) I . II were Aware of Allegations and chose to ignore them OR from a blind Eye to the Allegra Abuse. The Defordants I + II did wer, or "should" have had some THE PRIVAT REVIEW REPORTS of ANY DERSONS OR FUTITY who receives componention. During the outpuble Review or Security measures, Defendant I + II could MUR seen the sexual Misconduct Police report in the STATE POLICE computer, AND COULD HAVE AND SHOULD have stopped the Entire placement of. PLANTIFF to LUGID his SEXUAL ATTACKS. IN 1985. 2) The fundant III - DED have forest hand knowledge of Defendant IV action for they were the office that Pressed charges Against him in November of 1985.

However, within the investigative expose by WHAM channel 13 report, the case against Defendant IV "MISTERIOUSLY" was dismissed for no apparent reason.

This action stole plantiffs right to preserve the Defendant IV to the fullest extent of the LAW At the time in 1985-1986. This act of dismissal was due knowingly and peglectfully with no care of the Plantiff's right to preserve.

3) Defendant II - Did Knowingly + Willingly servally Abused PLANTIFF WITHIN IN his home IN 1985. ACTS DID consist of massaging plantiffs pavis, having plantiff SET OU his DARE IAP ON living ROOM. Defendant IV Also used to come outo his room at night and sexually ASSAULT him to point of Ejaculation. When Plantiff has first Around Defondant IV WITHIN the forest WEEK, he ordered plantiff to stand in front of him with NO DANTS ON SO he could ejaculate himself. Ite EVEN Slept IN his room with 2 other boys who were "TIM" age 18, And SEAN Age 16. The tou bib su fi patt ev blot exaver IF turbustab do as he asked, he would ship us back to the group brome, on Even worse, jail" when I was Alove with Dofendant IV he would hug me seem behind and press his genitals thto my lower back and move back and forth. ONE ATACK CAME AT night when Defendant II woke up Plantiff IN

the night, told him to follow him to his room. He

then pulled of his pants as well as Plantiff's and

pulled him ontop of him. Defendant III then pulled

Plantiff down, put his penis between his legs and

Moved it up and down whispering III feels like a

vagina", he then sjaculated onto Plantiff's buffocks

mack, Anus and buffocks.

4) those Assaults happoned All the way up to Thanksgiving of 1985 when Plantiff did tell his older brother of the Assault As Plantiff was on A holiday And a family visit. Brother can to house and immediately informed thier mother who then called the STATE Police. Plantiff remembers a STATE TROOPER in his living room Amairing to take a report After we hinished on thanksgiving meal.

IT) MOTION TO COURT:

Plantiff does understand AND ACKNOWLEDGES that the court does not owe protection of course in civil Actions, HOWEVER, Plantiff's "Pro Se" Applications Needs to be litigated through extremely proflesional means. Not only to respect the rules and regulations that honor's the court, but because Plantiff's complaint holds substational ment and cause for relief. Complaint to los substational ment and cause for relief.

Of "IN forma Pauperis" should not Deminish the Complaints right to litigate the matter for the concerns of Justice and the onegoing safety to the public itself. This complaint does contain many crucail facts, discovery and negligence that do need the uptwest of legal Attention, and definately as Arguable upon Law and facts (substantion).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF :

PLANTIFF does hereby request an order that Defendants)

DID ACT Knowingly, deliberately and Negligently in

NOTE ation of the "CHILDS VICTIM ACT" ("CVA") (CPL

30.00 [e]) to be STATED AND recorded on record.

Plantiff Also requests from Court "Monutary "clamages

And relief for pain a suffering, PTSD, psychological

TRAUM, humiliation, destruction of family relationships,

past and present, destruction of parent child bond

And relationship past and present, present and future

medical and psychological expenses, Enotional and

Physical distress and Attorney and All court costs

And Sufure unemployment as follows:

- (1) Defendant = \$8,500,000.00
- (i) Defendant II \$8,500,000.00
- (K) Defendant III \$5,750,000.00
- (L) Defendant IV \$ 22, 300,000.00

| WITH the Ability to SEIZE ANY AND All retirement |
|--|
| PRUSION, real Property, DANK ACCOUNTS, DROKERAGE ACCOUNT |
| life insurance payment or cash value, AND ANY AND Al |
| of the Alike Accounts, Even home owners jusurance. |
| |
| SIGNED THIS 6 DOW OF 2020 OF AWARD |

NOTARY:

Sobra W Hickon

()an O - 908

SIGNATULE:

SABRA W HICKAM
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01HI6295885
Qualified in Monroe County
Commission Expires January 13, 20

JAMES O YOUNH

PRINT NAME:

- going is truthful and correct:

August 6 2020

SCANATURE OF

JAMES O YOUNG

MATLING DATE TO

| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
|--|--|
| JAMES "OLIVER" YOUNG | TX : |
| et al., PLANTIFFG) | l . |
| -AGAINST (V.) | AFFIDAVIT |
| - NEW YORK STATE (a/K/a) | |
| THE STATE OF NEW YORK | CIVIL ACTION |
| - NY STATE DIVISION FOR YOUTH | No. |
| - LIVINGSTON COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 1 |
| - FATHER JAMES MULCAHEY | 1 |
| et al., DEFENDANT(s) | - K |
| | |
| AFFIDAVIT OF [JAMES OLIVE | RYOUNG |
| I James " OLIVER" YOUNG, being duly sworn According to | |
| the LAW deposes And SAY that I Am the Petitioner of | |
| the Above titled proceeding. | |
| I bring SAID proceeding Against Defendant(s) under | |
| the "CHILD VICTIMS ACT" ("CVA") AND | |
| the information I have submitted in support of my | |
| the information I have submitted in | support of my |
| 1 00 | support of my |
| CASE OR Plantiffs CASE, is true AND O | |
| NOTARY PUBLIC SIGNATURE: | onlect. |
| CASO OR Plantiffs CASO, is true AND C | |
| NOTARY PUBLIC SEGNATURE: | onlect. |
| NOTARY PUBLIC Swarn to be before PRINT NAME: | onlect. |
| NOTARY PUBLIC SWORN to be before Of August, 2020 SABRA Notary Public SABRA Notary Public | W HICKAM State of New York |
| NOTARY PUBLIC SEGNATURE: DAMES ME this 6 day Of August, 2020 SABRA Notary Public No. 01 | W HICKAM State of New York H16295885 Monroe County |
| NOTARY PUBLIC SUGNATURE: SWORD to be before Of August, 2020 SABRA Notary Public No. 01 Qualified in Commission Expir | W HICKAM State of New York HI6295885 |